Reduction in Rejection Rate of Soy Sauce Packaging via Six Sigma

Ronald Sukwadi ^{a*}, Leonardus Harijanto ^a, M.M. Wahyuni Inderawati ^{ab}, Po Tsang B. Huang ^b

^a Department of Industrial Engineering, Atma Jaya Catholic University of Indonesia
 Jl. Raya Cisauk, Sampora, BSD City Tangerang, Banten 15345, Indonesia
 ^b Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, Chung Yuan Christian University, Taiwan 200 Chung Pei Rd., Chung Li, Taiwan 32023, ROC
 * Corresponding author: ronald.sukwadi@atmajaya.ac.id

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history Received July 12, 2020 Revised February 14, 2021 Accepted February 26, 2021 Available Online February 28, 2021

Keywords Six Sigma Soy Sauce Packaging DMAIC Preventive Maintenance The Six Sigma methodology is the most powerful quality improvement technique. This research deals with applying the Six Sigma methodology in reducing the rejection rate of soy sauce packaging in food production. The DMAIC methodology of Six Sigma provides a step-by-step quality improvement methodology in which statistical techniques are applied. The leakage and cutting error problems were identified in the Define phase. The extent of the problem was measured in the Measure phase. The current DPMO value was 5,794.39, and sigma level at 4.0245. The root cause of the problem and the improvement priority were identified in the Analyze phase by applying the fishbone diagram and FMEA. The design of new Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and preventive maintenance schedule were used in the Improve phase to increase the sigma level by 50-60 percent and decrease DPMO by 99 percent for the upcoming four months implementation. Furthermore, a control plan was provided in the Control phase to monitor and sustain the achieved improvements.



This is an open-access article under the $\ensuremath{\text{CC-BY-SA}}$ license.



1. Introduction

In the manufacturing industry, producing quality goods is an important thing [1] [2]. Achieving good quality is one operation strategy if a company wants to win the competition [3]. That is why production results affect a product's quality and the price at which the product is sold, and its profit [4]. Hence, to maximize the production results, a company always has to pay attention to minimizing the rejection rate of a product. If the defects decrease, then the utilization of resources and the profit can be maximized [5] [6]. Several quality-control methods are used to guarantee the quality of the company's product [7] [8]. Quality control has a special role in improving the quality and process by reducing products' defect rates until there are no more defects left. Suppose the quality control is carried out correctly. In that case, a company will achieve a much higher level



of effectiveness and efficiency than before. The effectiveness means every process is capable to achieve the company's main goal which is maximum profit [9] [10]. While, the efficiency means the state or quality of being efficient, or able to accomplish something with the least waste of time and cost [11] [12].

Six sigma is a step-wise quality improvement methodology using specific tools and methodologies that lead to fact-based decision-making. Many manufacturing companies often apply the Six Sigma method to decrease product defects and increase product quality [13]. Six Sigma method gives many benefits and advantages to a company in productivity levels, decreasing the failure of a process, and increasing the usage [14]. This method has been proven to be a method that can increase the quality in many industry types. It can also be used to achieve, maintain, and maximize business success by decreasing the process's variation, decreasing the failure of a process, and increasing profits [15] [16]. When customers receive certain products and some defects in the product, they will eventually return the products [17] [18]. That condition will cause an inadequate balance between profit and cost of a company because the product has to be reproduced. By reproducing a 'new' product, it will increase raw material and production costs. Six sigma method is proven to be effective in reducing waste and increasing the profit margin as well as making the company has a competitive advantage to beat the rivals [19] [20].

This paper highlights the Six Sigma methodology's potential, detailing the soy sauce packaging problems in the large food industry. Reducing rejection rate as a quality strategy ensures development and increases the position of the company. It is based on six main principles that should be implemented in companies that want to develop and increase their market position. The very first point is the focus on the customer. Every action taken should agree with customers' needs and requirements [17] [18]. Six Sigma is also based on facts used to perform a detailed systematic analysis. It is based on continuous improvement of all aspects of functioning development in the organization and cooperation without boundaries at every company level. Therefore, this study aims to reduce the rejection rate of soy sauce packaging by applying the Six Sigma methodology.

This paper's structure is presented as follows: Six Sigma Methodology and data collection are presented in section 2 (methods). The results of Six Sigma Methodology are presented in section 3 (results and discussion). Furthermore, section 4 outlines the conclusion and suggestions for further research.

2. Methods

2.1 The Six Sigma Methodology

The Define-Measure-Analyze-Improve-Control (DMAIC) approach of Six Sigma methodology was used to achieve the research objective. A step-by-step procedure was followed and implemented to control the identified process variation in the product's manufacturing process. The proposed DMAIC procedure for this study is shown in Fig. 1.

2.1.1 Define procedure

In the *Define* step, the problem of quality in the production area is defined. It consists of identifying the problem and the purpose of using the Six Sigma method to solve the problems, designing a Six Sigma team, designing a Flow Process Chart (FPC), and designing a SIPOC (Suppliers, Inputs, Processes, Outputs, and Customers) diagram [21] [22].

2.1.2 Measure procedure

The Measure step is constructed to know which types of defects mainly influence the problem (Critical to Quality/CTQ), how much cost the company has to pay for the



defective products (Cost of Poor Quality), and the capability process, which consists of DPO, DPMO, Sigma level and yield. The equation of capability process is shown in equations (1), (2), and (3) [21] [22].

Define	Measure	Analyze	Improve	Control
This section defines the problem by using a Flow Process Chart (FPC) and a diagram of Suppliers, Inputs, Processes, Outputs and Customers (SIPOC).	This section aims to determine what defects have the most influence on Critical To Quality (CTQ) by considering costs due to defective products and process capabilities.	This section lists the most influential causes of disability using a fishbone diagram and then sequences the steps for improvement using Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA).	In this section, the improvement is based on the FMEA results. The highest RPN value is a priority for immediate improvement using brainstorming.	This section is a control stage for the implemen- tation of the improvements made using Standart Operational Procedure (SOP)
		(FMEA).		



$DPO = \frac{\text{Total Unit of Defect}}{\text{Total Unit x CTQ Opportunity}}$	(1)
$DPMO = DPO \ge 1.000.000$	(2)
$Yield = (1 - \frac{Total Unit of Defect}{Total Unit x CTQ Opportunity}) \ge 100\%$	(3)

In this phase, the quality tools used are the Pareto diagram for determining CTQ. The control chart is used to see a process's stability. The u control chart is applied. The control chart calculations are shown in equations (4), (5), (6), and (7) [21] [22]:

The Defect Proportion

$$\overline{u} = \frac{\text{Total defect}}{n}$$
(4)
n = Total sample of observation
The Center Line
 $CL = \overline{u}$ (5)

The Lower Control Limit $LCL = \bar{u} - i \sqrt{\frac{\bar{u}}{ni}}$ (6) The Upper Control Limit

$$UCL = \bar{u} + i \sqrt{\frac{\bar{u}}{ni}}$$
(7)

2.1.3 Analyze procedure

In the Analyze phase, the sources that cause the most significant defect are being sorted using the fishbone diagram. The fishbone diagram combined with the brainstorming process determines five main factors: man, material, machine, method, and environment [23]. After identifying the root cause of the defect, the next step is to determine which root causes have to be solved first. The Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA) is applied. This analysis considers three factors Occurrence (O), Severity (S), and Detection (D), to get the highest Risk Priority Number (RPN). The equation is shown in equations (8) [23].

Occurrence (O), Severity (S), and Detection (D) ratings for each Failure Mode are based on a scale of 1 to 10. In occurrence, 1 shows Rarely, and 10 describes Frequently. In severity, 1 indicates Not Significant, and 10 represents Dangerous. Furthermore, in detection, 1 refers to Easily Detected, and 10 explains Detection Very Unlikely.

$$RPN = S x O x D \tag{8}$$

2.1.4 Improve procedure

After the highest RPN has been determined, Improvements can be made [24] [25]. The improvement is based on the FMEA results. The highest RPN value is a priority for immediate improvement. This phase implements an analysis determining the improvement given. This stage is based on the brainstorming process analysis steps of actions [26] [27].

2.1.5 Control procedure

This *Control* phase is only part of the final controlling process of the improvement given if an implementation has been successfully carried out [28] [29]. This phase can be done by monitoring all results and documenting the process until creating Standard Operation Procedures (SOPs) for successful improvement [30].

2.2 Data Collection

All information needed is divided into two groups of data, namely primary and secondary data. The primary data is obtained by conducting field study and observation, such as the company's working condition, the soy sauce packaging production process, the operator's working condition, and all machines and tools used during six months operation (July-December 2019). The secondary data consists of the 2018 and 2019 production history of soy sauce packages and the total defective units for each package. The record on every single defect that occurs in the process.



3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Define

The main problem in the soy sauce packaging process is reducing the high rejection rate of soy sauce packaging. Fig. 2 shows the process of how to package soy sauce, as shown below.

		Flow	v Proces	s Chart				
		Summary						
Activity: Bango Soy Sauce Pouch	Packa	ging				Event	Present	Purposed
Date: 18 February 2020						Operation	4	
Operator : Leonardus Harijanto	An	alyst : Le	conardu	ıs Harija	into	Transport	6	
Method and Type:		Delay	0					
Method: Present Proposed	Inspection	1						
Type: Worker Material	Storage	1						
Remarks:	Time (Sec)	363						
	Distance (m)	46						
	Cost							
Event Description		Time (Sec)	Distance (m)	Method Recommen dation				
Pouch package being taken	•	→	Ð		▼	-		
Pouch package is being moved to jig opener pouch	•	-	D		▼	30	8	
The pouch is being opened with the jig opener pouch	۲	→	D		▼	12		
The pouch is being moved to the nozzle filling machine	•	\rightarrow	D		▼	35	10	
The pouch is filled with the nozzle filling machine		→	D		▼	15	- 8	
The pouch is being moved to the sealer machine	•	-	D		▼	38	10	
The pouch is sealed		-	D		▼	35		
The pouch is being moved to the inspection section	•	-	D		▼	20	5	
The pouch is being inspected	•	→			▼	8	÷	
The pouch is being moved to the packaging section	•	-	D		▼	20	5	
The pouch is packaged		-	D		▼	120	×	
The finished good is being moved to the finished good warehouse	•		D		▼	30	8	

Fig. 2. Flow Process Chart of Soy Sauce Pouch Packaging

Fig. 3 indicates information about the stages in the production process from suppliers to customers in diagrams of Suppliers, Inputs, Processes, Outputs, and Customers (SIPOC). In the process section, there are two activities: making pouch

packaging and conducting bottle packaging. The process of making pouch packaging consists of several activities, such as opening the pouch, filling the pouch, sealing the pouch, cutting and labeling the pouch, and packaging the pouch. Making bottle packaging consists of bottle filling, bottle sealing, bottle cutting and labeling, and bottle packaging.



Fig. 3. SIPOC diagram

3.2 Measure

As shown in Fig. 4, the two types of defects that cause the most significant problems are that package is not sealed properly and the occurrence of an error in cutting. The Cost of poor quality paid-for product defects is 415,149,600 IDR, which is a significantly high cost for the company.





Fig. 5 projects the u control chart of the process by using the equation (4), (5), (6), and (7). The u chart is an attribute control chart used with data collected in subgroups of varying sizes. u charts show how the process, measured by the number of nonconformities per item or group of items, changes over time. Nonconformities are defects or occurrences found in the sampled subgroups. The findings suggest that the processes have variations above and below the control limit line caused by special cases such as the machine's damage and the loss of power usage.



Fig. 5. U Control Chart

The capability process for soy sauce packaging is computed by using equations (1), (2), and (3). The value of DPO, DPMO, Sigma Level, and Yield are 0.00579; 5794.39; 4.0245, and 99.42%. The Sigma level indicates that the company already has a good level of sigma category for the most manufacturing industry in Indonesia.

3.3 Analyze

This phase proposes a Standard Operating Procedure for the sealing machine. It creates a preventive maintenance schedule for the Jig Pouch Opener Machine to solve the most significant defect problem. The solutions were presented using a fishbone diagram, FMEA, and a brainstorming process with its leaders and stakeholders. Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 integrate the fishbone diagrams for packing leaks and cutting errors.







Fig. 7. Fishbone diagram for cutting errors

The SOPs are designed to overcome the "package is not sealed properly" due to frequent operator errors in handling the machine. The Jig Pouch Opener Machine's preventive maintenance schedule is intended to overcome the problem of "error in cutting" caused by sensor problems and lack of regular scheduling. The solutions are based on the highest Risk Priority Number (RPN) using equation (8). Fig. 8 and Fig. 9 show the results of determining the priority of repairs that should be carried out first.

3.4 Improve

The Improve section is usually an implementation of the problem solution. Therefore, this study provides a design concept of the solution, namely SOPs design and preventive maintenance schedule. Fig. 10 indicates an example of the sealing machine SOP design.

The maintenance schedule has been successfully designed using a Corrective Maintenance Model, namely The Age Replacement Model. Table 1 presents the sensor component maintenance schedule of the Jig Pouch Opener Machine.

3.5 Control

In this phase, the implementation of SOPs and maintenance schedules is monitored and evaluated. It is based on the improvement solutions with the help of quality documentation tools such as a check-up table for the machine, a replacement table for the machine, and a table for calculating the increase or decrease of DPMO and Sigma level. Table 2 shows the target of DPMO decrease and Six Sigma Level increase. It only shows the target because there is no implementation done yet. The results are shown below.

ili

Fig. 9. FMEA cutting packaging labels problem

65

	'n	4	w		Ν		_	Z		U	a	4	ω		12		1	No
	Entrico	Mate	Metl		Macl		Ma	o Main Fa		Environment		Material	Method		Machine		Man	Main Factors
packagi card	The proces labels,	rial Cutting s	od Cutting	Cutting soy	Opening	1	n Cutting s	uctors Func						package until the soy sauce package is closed	Fill the soy sauce			Process
ng glue and sealing board packaging	s of cutting soy sauce cardboard labels,	oy sauce packaging labels	seal of soy sauce board packaging	sauce packaging glue	the soy sauce pouch	ender Granden	oy sauce cardboard	tion or Process		Noisy	Hot air	The soy sauce was spilled	Glue is uneven	The temperature is r hot	Sealer machine jamn	Gluing too fast	Misoperating machir	Failure Mode
Noisy	Hot air	The packaging label does not match the package of soy sauce	The cardboard seal does not cover all parts of the cardboard	There is residual glue on the soy sauce package and a little glue on the package	the packaging is cut off when opened	Cardboard label cutter is blunt	Incorrect measurement	Failure Mode	Fig. 8. FN		Loss of concentration	The glue doesn't stick	The packaging does no seal well	10t The temperature setting does not match the product	ned Dirty sealer machine	Bottle caps and pouches are not tight	nes The glue is not tight	Effect of Failure
	Loss of concentration	An untidy cut	The packing cardboard is not sealed properly	 Soy sauce packaging is longer and shorter than actual 	The packaging is not suitable for use	An untidy cut	Cardboard packaging labels are too long or short	Effect of Failure	IEA soy sauce	Machines operates	There is no ventilati	s Soy sauce bottle fully f	t the sealling method is right	No temperature settin caried out	Not cleaned	Hurried	No Machine SOP	Cause of Failur
The machines operate	No ventilation	The packaging label is too big or too small	Do not follow the cardboard seal cutting steps		The sensor does not work	Blades are rarely replaced	Inaccurate	Cause of Failure	packaging fill	Using	on Nothing was	illed The soy sauce i sea	not Notify the opera gluing r	g is If it is found that stick, the tempe	Machine cleane jam	Notify the opera gluing I	Explanation of t operating t	Current
Using earplugs	Nothing was done abo this	Adjustment of packagi labels is produced by cutting labels that are t large and not using sm labels	Supervisors explain corr packaging procedure	Checks the sensor use when it is not workin	Using another soy saud pouch. For a soy saud pouch that isn't worth crushing	The supervisor checks sharpness of the blad	Nothing was done abo this	Current Controls	ing problem	earplugs 4	done about this 4	is cleaned before 6 ling	tor of the correct 6 procedure	the glue does not 7 rature is adjusted	d after machine 8 1med	tor of the correct 7 procedure 7	the procedure for 8 the machine	S
4	ůt 4	all 7	rect 7	0 00 d	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	le 5	ůt з	w w		8	œ	CT	7	6	Cπ	7	6	0
×	×	сı	7	رم.	6	6	×	Curre 0		7 2	7 2	9 2	ం చ	00 20	ం ట	6 2	ం ట	D R
7	7	×	×	7	9	×	4	nt Sta D		24 DE	24 7	70	36	36 I	20	94	84	PN
224	224	280	392	210	432	240	96	tus RPN		ecause 1 act	There is	Evaluat	Mak acco	Re-expl:	The n	Re	Providi how	Kee
process of production activities that occur at the company	There is no corrective action that can be done because it can disrupt the	Correct packaging label cutting briefing	Provides training in correct cardboard seal procedures and provision of cardboard packaging procedures to	Planned maintenance of machines	Planned maintenance of machines	Planned routine checks of the blade.	Cardboard packaging label cutting procedure training that included proper steps	 Recommended Corrective Action 		it can disrupt the process of production tivities that occur at the company	is no corrective action that can be done	not too full and does not spill	king SOP for sealing procedures is ompanied by training for operators	lain to the operator about the machine SOP	machine is carried out for scheduled maintenance	echecking for each gluing activity	ling machine SOP and explanation on w to operate the machine properly accompanied by training	commended Corrective Action

iti

25 Contracted and constitution after a fighter of the Constitution of the Constitution and the Constitution of the Constitution of the Constitution of of the Constitution of the Constitution of the Constitution of the Constitution of the Constitution of the Cons		Document Number:						
		In effect at: 6 April 2020						
0		Revision:						
SEALER MACHINE S	TANDARD	Revision Date:						
OPERATING PROC	EDURE	Page: 1 dari 1						
1. Objective								
To give a work standard for should be	the operator in	using the sealer machine for the bottle packag	ging as it					
2 Scone								
This was have a state for								
I his procedure consist of cu	tting, giueing a	ind sealing						
3. Work Procedure	La seconda de la contra	25/2/10/01/200						
Activity	Number	Information	PIC					
		Machine cleaness check up						
Start	1	Check up on the machine heater	Operator					
		Check up on the machine sensor						
Component and	0.759	Check up on the temperature if it is right with the type of package used						
Temperature 2	2	Check up on the hole where he temperature comes out if it is still working properly or not	Operato					
check up		Check up on the availability of the glue being used	Operator					
3	3	Check up on the density of the glue						
Sealing check up	0.00	Check up on the sharpeness of the cuter being used						
	4	Start up of the machine	Operator					
Machine operation	5	Check up on the bottle sealer if it is sealed properly or not	Operator					
Work area check	6	Quality check up on the product and whether it has a defect on it and if it has then write it down on the defect form	Operator					
		After finished, the operator has to:						
Quality Control		Reset the temperature to it's original temperature before being used						
and the second s		Clean up the work station area						
		 Clean up all of the component of the machine and all of the sensor 						
		Report to the supervisor in charge if there is any trouble during the operation						

Fig. 10. SOP of Sealer Machine

iti

Replacement Schedule			Check Up Schedule					
Date	Start	Finish	Date	Start	Finish			
6 January 2020	22.01	00.14	16 January 2020	4.22	5.22			
13 January 2020	12.14	14.27	30 January 2020	23.44	00.44			
7 February 2020	21.10	23.23	15 February 2020	19.04	20.04			
24 February 2020	15.52	18.05	1 March 2020	14.26	15.26			
7 March 2020	20.20	22.33	16 March 2020	09.48	10.48			
13 March 2020	10.34	12.47	31 March 2020	05.00	06.00			
24 March 2020	15.02	17.15	15 April 2020	00.24	01.24			
4 April 2020	19.30	21.43	29 April 2020	19.46	20.46			
11 April 2020	09.44	11.57	14 May 2020	15.08	16.08			
22 April 2020	14.12	16.25	29 May 2020	10.30	11.30			
4 May 2020	18.40	20.53	13 June 2020	05.52	06.52			
11 May 2020	08.54	11.06	28 June 2020	01.14	02.14			
22 May 2020	13.21	15.34	12 July 2020	20.36	21.36			
2 June 2020	17.49	20.02	27 July 2020	03.58	04.58			
8 June 2020	08.03	10.16	10 August 2020	23.20	00.20			
18 June 2020	12.31	14.44	25 August 2020	18.42	19.42			
24 June 2020	02.45	04.58	9 Sept 2020	14.04	15.04			
6 July 2020	07.13	09.26	$24 \; \mathrm{Sept} \; 2020$	09.26	10.26			
17 July 2020	11.41	13.54	8 October 2020	04.48	05.48			
23 July 2020	01.55	04.08	23 October 2020	00.00	01.00			
3 August 2020	06.23	08.36	6 November 2020	19.22	20.22			
8 August 2020	20.37	22.50	21 November 2020	14.44	15.44			
14 August 2020	10.51	12.04	6 December 2020	10.06	11.06			
21 August 2020	00.05	02.18	21 December 2020	05.28	06.28			
2 Sept 2020	04.33	06.46	_					
7 Sept 2020	18.47	21.00	_					
14 Sept 2020	09.01	11.14	_					
19 Sept 2020	23.15	01.28	_					
30 Sept 2020	03.43	05.56	_					
5 October 2020	17.57	20.10	_					
12 October 2020	08.11	10.24	_					
17 October 2020	22.25	00.38	_					
30 October 2020	02.53	05.06	_					
4 November 2020	17.07	19.20						
10 November 2020	07.21	09.34						
16 November 2020	21.35	23.48	_					
30 November 2020	02.03	04.16	_					
11 December 2020	06.31	08.44	_					
16 December 2020	20.45	22.58	_					
28 December 2020	01.13	03.26						

Table 1. Maintenance Schedule for Jig Pouch Opener Machine

MonthProductionDefect TargetDPO TargetDPMO TargetDecrease PercentageSigma BaselineSigma Baseline TargetSigma Baseline TargetIncrease Percentage13000000200000.0067133.333399.33333.48285.1467.820623000000100000.0033399.73.51535.4964.0308						0		0 11 0		
13000000200000.0067133.333399.33333.48285.1467.820623000000100000.0033399.73.51535.4964.0308	Month	Production	Produc	Defect Target	DPO Target	DPMO Target	Decrease Percentage	Sigma Baseline	Sigma Baseline Target	Increase Percentage
2 3000000 10000 0.003 33 99.7 3.5153 5.49 64.0308	1	3000000	30000	20000	0.0067	133.3333	99.3333	3.4828	5.14	67.8206
	2	3000000	30000	10000	0.003	33	99.7	3.5153	5.49	64.0308
3 2000000 5000 0.0025 12.5 99.75 3.4767 5.75 60.4999	3	2000000	20000	5000	0.0025	12.5	99.75	3.4767	5.75	60.4999
4 3000000 100 0 0.0033 99.9967 3.4804 6 58.0068	4	3000000	30000	100	0	0.0033	99.9967	3.4804	6	58.0068

Table 2. The target of DPMO and Sigma Level

This case study conducted in a large food company dedicated to soy sauce packaging illustrates how Six Sigma may be implemented with higher or less intensity regardless of the type of production process or company. In particular, rejection rate reduction carried out in the company can also be the objective of a quality improvement project. However, quality improvement in this area has not been widely studied in the previous literature, especially regarding the application of the Six Sigma methodology [2] [3] [5] [9] [16] [18] and [31].

After implementing Six Sigma, the company situation reflects the economic benefits measured in the rejection rate improvements. A special mention is needed regarding the significant reduction achieved in the Six Sigma project, according to Senjuntichai, et al. [5], Gijo, et al. [10], and Krishna Priya, et al. [31]. These results are also consistent with previous research results [32] and [33].

4. Conclusion

This study aims to reduce the rejection rate of soy sauce packaging. In this study, there were three types of defect problems in the existing process: packaging that was not appropriately sealed, wrong cutting, and reversed package labeling. The most significant problems were the package not appropriately sealed and wrong cutting defects. The solution provided for solving these problems was by designing appropriate Standard Operation Procedures (SOPs) for the sealing machine and by setting a preventive maintenance schedule for the jig pouch opener machine in order to increase of sigma level by 50-60 percent and to decrease DPMO by 99 percent for the upcoming four months implementation. The results showed that the reject ratio of soy sauce packaging could be reduced. The provided solutions were based on the highest Risk Priority Number (RPN) value. The conducted study suggested that the Six Sigma method can reduce the rejection rate of soy sauce packaging.

For future works, the data analysis can be done through other statistical tests. Combining lean with other sustainable and innovative manufacturing methods such as green for enhancing performance and design thinking can broaden the study perspectives. For further validation of the performance improvement, longitudinal studies can be conducted for a deeper analysis.

References

- [1] A. M. Almansur, S. Sukardi, and M. Machfud, "Improving Performance of Biscuit Production Process Through Lean Six-Sigma at PT XYZ," *Indonesian Journal of Business and Entrepreneurship (IJBE)*, vol. 3, p. 77, 2017. https://doi.org/10.17358/ijbe.3.2.77.
- [2] A. Kusnadi and G. Yudoko, "Contractor work preparation process improvement using lean six sigma," *The South East Asian Journal of Management*, vol. 10, pp. 1-29, 2016. https://doi.org/10.21002/seam.v10i1.5781.

- [3] J. Hill, A. J. Thomas, R. K. Mason-Jones, and S. El-Kateb, "The implementation of a Lean Six Sigma framework to enhance operational performance in an MRO facility," *Production & Manufacturing Research*, vol. 6, pp. 26-48, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1080/21693277.2017.1417179.
- [4] A. M. H. Pereira, M. R. Silva, M. A. G. Domingues, and J. C. Sá, "Lean Six Sigma Approach to Improve the Production Process in the Mould Industry: a Case Study," *Quality Innovation Prosperity*, vol. 23, pp. 103-121, 2019. https://doi.org/10.12776/qip.v23i3.1334.
- [5] A. Senjuntichai, N. Wonganawat, and B. Thampitakkul, "Defect Reduction in Ready Rice Packaging by Applying Six Sigma," *International Journal of Innovation, Management and Technology*, vol. 9, pp. 178-183, 2018. https://doi.org/10.18178/ijimt.2018.9.4.810.
- [6] Z. He, Y. Deng, M. Zhang, X. Zu, and J. Antony, "An empirical investigation of the relationship between Six Sigma practices and organisational innovation," *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence*, vol. 28, pp. 459-480, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1080/14783363.2015.1092866.
- [7] V. Gaspersz, *The Executive Guide To Implementing Lean Six Sigma*, 2008.
- [8] A. A. Al-Shourah, R. T. Al-Tarawneh, and F. A. Alzu'bi, "The integration of lean management and six sigma strategies to improve the performance of production in industrial pharmaceutical," *International Journal of Business and Management*, vol. 13, pp. 207-216, 2018. https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v13n8p207.
- [9] H. Fransiscus, C. P. Juwono, and I. S. Astari, "Implementasi metode six sigma DMAIC untuk mengurangi paint bucket cacat di PT X," *Jurnal Rekayasa Sistem Industri*, vol. 3, pp. 53-64, 2014. https://doi.org/10.26593/jrsi.v3i2.1297.53-64.
- [10] E. V. Gijo, J. Scaria, and J. Antony, "Application of six sigma methodology to reduce defects of a grinding process," *Quality and Reliability Engineering International*, vol. 27, pp. 1221-1234, 2011. https://doi.org/10.1002/qre.1212.
- [11] H. S. Gitlow, R. J. Melnyck, and D. M. Levine, A guide to Six Sigma and process improvement for practitioners and students: Foundations, DMAIC, Tools, Cases, and Certification, 2 ed.: FT Press, 2015.
- [12] S. Indrawati and M. Ridwansyah, "Manufacturing Continuous Improvement Using Lean Six Sigma: An Iron Ores Industry Case Application," *Proceedia Manufacturing*, vol. 4, pp. 528-534, 2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2015.11.072.
- [13] A. Ertas, *Transdisciplinary engineering design process*: John Wiley & Sons, 2018.
- [14] C. T. Carroll, Six Sigma for Powerful Improvement: A Green Belt DMAIC Training System with Software Tools and a 25-Lesson Course: Taylor & Francis, 2013.
- [15] V. Gaspersz, "Pedoman implementasi program six sigma terintegrasi dengan ISO 9001: 2000, MBNQA, dan HACCP," *PT. Gramedia Pustaka Utama, Jakarta,* 2002.
- [16] M. Smętkowska and B. Mrugalska, "Using Six Sigma DMAIC to Improve the Quality of the Production Process: A Case Study," *Proceedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 238, pp. 590-596, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2018.04.039.
- [17] V. Gaspersz, *The Executive Guide To Implementing Lean Six Sigma*: Jakarta: PT. Gramedia Pustaka Utama, 2008.
- [18] A. I. L. Deamonita, E. Pujiyanto, and C. N. Rosyidi, "The implementation of lean six sigma in a book manufacturing company: A case study," in AIP Conference Proceedings, 2019, p. 030045. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5098220.
- [19] H. Henny, I. Andriana, A. N. Latifah, and H. Haryanto, "The Application Lean Six Sigma Method Approach to Minimize Waste," in *IOP Conference Series: Materials*

Science and Engineering, 2019, p. 022089. https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899x/662/2/022089.

- [20] M. I. Qureshi, N. Bashir, K. Zaman, N. Sajjad, and S. Fakhr, "Customer Satisfaction Measurement and Analysis Using Six Sigma in Telecom Sector of Pakistan," *European Journal of Sustainable Development*, vol. 1, pp. 53 - 68, 2012. https://doi.org/10.14207/ejsd.2012.v1n1p53%20-%2068.
- [21] A. T. Soemohadiwidjojo, Six Sigma Metode Pengukuran Kinerja Perusahaan Berbasis Statistik: Raih Asa Sukses, 2017.
- [22] C. E. Ebeling, An Introduction to Reliability and Maintainability Engineering: Third Edition: Waveland Press, 2019.
- [23] S. Andiyanto, A. Sutrisno, and C. C. Punuhsingon, "Penerapan metode FMEA (Failure Mode and Effect Analysis) untuk kuantifikasi dan pencegahan resiko akibat terjadinya lean waste," *Jurnal Online Poros Teknik Mesin Unsrat*, vol. 6, pp. 45-47, 2017. https://ejournal.unsrat.ac.id/index.php/poros/article/view/14864.
- [24] J. Heizer and B. Render, *Operations management: sustainability and supply chain management:* Pearson Higher Ed, 2013.
- [25] R. A. Munro, *Lean six Sigma for the healthcare practice: A pocket guide*: Quality Press, 2009.
- [26] S. O. Parasayu and N. Susanto, *Industrial Engineering Online Journal*, vol. 5, pp. 1-6, 2016. https://ejournal3.undip.ac.id/index.php/ieoj/article/view/14077.
- [27] F. B. Pranata, Business Intelligence Cockpit: Cara Mengukur dan Meningkatkan: Elex Media Komputindo, 2014.
- [28] J. G. Rawlinson, Creative Thinking and Brainstorming: Taylor & Francis, 2017.
- [29] T. Taufik and S. Septyani, "Penentuan interval waktu perawatan komponen kritis pada mesin turbin di PT PLN (Persero) Sektor Pembangkit Ombilin," *jurnal optimasi sistem industri*, vol. 14, pp. 238-258, 2016.
- [30] A. K. S. Jardine and A. H. C. Tsang, *Maintenance, Replacement, and Reliability: Theory and Applications, Second Edition:* Taylor & Francis, 2013.
- [31] S. Krishna Priya, V. Jayakumar, and S. Suresh Kumar, "Defect analysis and lean six sigma implementation experience in an automotive assembly line," in *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 2020, pp. 948-958. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2019.11.139.
- [32] R. Sukwadi, Y. Felicia, and Muaf, "TOC, lean, and six sigma: An integrated model to increase the productivity of the textile industry," *Journal of Mechanical Engineering Research & Developments*, vol. 44, pp. 327-336, 2021.
- [33] J. P. Costa, I. S. Lopes, and J. P. Brito, "Six Sigma application for quality improvement of the pin insertion process," *Procedia Manufacturing*, vol. 38, pp. 1592-1599, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2020.01.126.